

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. Their
decision, to air
such a partisan
program, commercial
free, and especially
so close to the
election, is a
brazen attempt to
influence the
election. This must
be considered a
'contribution in
kind' and
investigated as a
violation of the
laws which govern
corporate political
contributions.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.